Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 30 of 75 PageID #: 2783

	Page 64
1	October 11th, 2018, that you failed and neglected to
2	safeguard your Metro card. Did that occur?
3	A. No, I don't recall that charge at all. That is
4	why previously when I said scroll up, because I was
5	looking at it. I have no idea what that charge is.
6	Q. Does the NYPD provide its officers with Metro
7	cars?
8	A. They do.
9	Q. Is it a violation of the patrol guide or the
10	regulations to lose the Metro cards?
11	A. Yes, yes, it is.
12	Q. And then paragraph six, it indicates on
13	October 11th, 2018, that you engaged in conduct prejudicial
14	to the good order, efficiencies or discipline in the
15	department, to wit, that you made misleading statements
16	during your official department interview. Do you know
17	what this is in reference to?
18	A. No, I have no idea whatsoever what this is in
19	reference to.
20	Q. Did you ever make misleading statements or false
21	statements regarding a hit and run by Lieutenant Lau?
22	A. No, I did not. I believe Lieutenant Lau was
23	involved in a hit and run.
24	Q. What do you base that belief on?
25	A. That fact that his car had damage to it and he

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 31 of 75 PageID #: 2784

V. KHAZIN

Page 65 mentioned it to his driver while I was present. 1 Did you submit an anonymous complaint indicating 2 Ο. 3 that you had actually seen Lieutenant Lau engaged in a hit 4 and run? 5 I had submitted an anonymous complaint, that is Α. 6 correct. 7 And in the complaint itself, did it indicate that Q. 8 you had seen the events? 9 Α. Not that I had seen the events, no. 10 (Whereupon, Bates-stamped documents 11 Defendant's 04124 to 04296 were collectively 12 marked as Defendants' Exhibit D for 13 identification as of this date by the Reporter.) 14 MS. DANDRIGE: Defendants' Exhibit D, it 15 starts with Bates Stamps Defendants' Exhibit 4124 16 and we end at 4296. 17 Q. And I'm just going to direct your attention, Mr. Khazin, to this last page, which is Defendants' 4296 18 19 and I'm going to ask you to read it. There you go. Let me 20 know when you are done. 21 I'm done reading it. Α. 22 Q. Do you recognize this document? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Is this the anonymous complaint that you 0. 25 submitted indicating that Lieutenant Lau had engaged in a

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 32 of 75 PageID #: 2785

	Page 66
1	hit and run?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Let's go through the document. Is starts off,
4	it's dated September 15th, 2017, it says dear Sir or Madam,
5	I wanted to inform you of a crime that I observed happen
6	(sic). Who is the I in that statement?
7	A. An anonymous jogger who was also a good
8	samaritan.
9	Q. Was this an anonymous jogger that you spoke to?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Was this a good samaritan that you spoke to?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Was this an anonymous jogger that existed?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Was it a good samaritan that existed?
16	A. No.
17	Q. So the I was you?
18	A. No.
19	Q. So it was a figment of someone's imagination?
20	A. It was I was using it in the context of
21	knowing that Internal Affairs never reviewed any of my
22	complaints and they didn't do anything if I submitted
23	anything and I wanted them to actually investigate this
24	matter.
25	Q. So the events in this anonymous complaint, did

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 33 of 75 PageID #: 2786

	Page 67
1	anyone observe these events?
2	A. In this particular letter, no.
3	Q. So in this particular letter, the content
4	therein, the contents are all false?
5	A. No, Lieutenant Lau was involved in a collision.
6	He was drunk. He did mention it to his driver. I did
7	overhear it.
8	Q. Okay, what in the first statement is true?
9	A. I want to inform you of a crime that occurred.
10	Q. What about that statement is true
11	A. Lieutenant Lau
12	Q if the person does not exist?
13	A. That the fact that Lieutenant Lau was he did
14	mention to his driver while I was there that he was
15	inebriated, he did he was involved in a collision.
16	Q. So you based that off a statement that you heard
17	him, Lieutenant Lau, tell someone else?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What in the second statement, on August 30th,
20	2017, I was jogging near 44 Trinity Place and I saw a white
21	Lexus, four-door, New York State license plate GYB 6039
22	driving really fast and hit another, parenthetical, it hit
23	the other car's front passenger door and the wheel well in
24	the rear, vehicle licence plate HPK 7184, New York plates
25	for both cars.

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 34 of 75 PageID #: 2787

	Page 68
1	What in that statement is true?
2	A. That a collision did occur at that time.
3	Q. Is the you jogging near 44 Trinity Place true?
4	A. I was not jogging at that location.
5	Q. Was anyone that you know jogging at that
6	location?
7	A. No one that I knew, no.
8	Q. Is the, you observing a white Lexus, four-door,
9	with New York State license plate GYB 6039 true?
10	A. That, I did not personally observe, no.
11	Q. When Lieutenant Lau was speaking to his driver,
12	did he state his own license plate number when speaking to
13	the driver?
14	A. No, I knew his license plate number.
15	Q. How did you know it?
16	A. Because he parked his car in the Department of
17	Education parking lot. That is how
18	Q. Did you go look at it and write it down?
19	A. No, that is how I was able to determine that he
20	had damage on his car.
21	Q. By observing the car?
22	A. Yes, after he made the statement to his driver I
23	did see the damage to his vehicle.
24	Q. Did he state when he was talking to his driver
25	that he incurred, that he was in an accident on

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 35 of 75 PageID #: 2788

		Page 69
1	October 30)th of 2017?
2	Α.	He did not mention that.
3	Q.	Where did you get the date from?
4	A.	I don't remember at this point.
5	Q.	And when he was speaking to his driver, did he
6	also menti	on the vehicle license plate of the other car,
7	HPK 7184?	
8	A.	I don't believe he did.
9	Q.	Where did you get that license plate number from?
10	A.	I believe it was from an accident report.
11	Q.	Where did you see that accident report?
12	A.	Within our computer system.
13	Q.	And did you just happen upon it?
14	Α.	No, I was actually trying to figure out where
15	this accid	lent actually occurred, the one that he mentioned
16	to his dri	lver.
17	Q.	Why were you trying to do that?
18	Α.	I was just trying to investigate a matter as a
19	police of	ficer at that point.
20	Q.	And how did you determine it was the accident
21	report fea	aturing a car with the license plate HPK 7184?
22	Α.	I believe there was an accident report for a hit
23	and run at	that time.
24	Q.	And in that accident report, did it indicate that
25	there was	another Lexus with the license plate GYB 6039?

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 36 of 75 PageID #: 2789

V. KHAZIN

1	A. By the nature of our hit and runs, we don't
2	always put in the other vehicle.
3	Q. And when Lieutenant Lau was speaking to the
4	driver, did he say he engaged in a hit and run at 44
5	Trinity Place?
6	A. He indicated to his driver that he was drunk and
7	he did get into an accident.
8	Q. How did you determine that the report reflecting
9	a hit and run that occurred at 44 Trinity Place with the
10	license plate number was the accident Lieutenant Lau was
11	in?
12	A. I just did a very basic investigation. That is
13	the route that he would usually take to get to work and it
14	would corollate with the correct time of when he told his
15	driver that he was involved in an accident so I just
16	deduced that that could have been it and so I filed the
17	allegation.
18	Q. Did the accident report that you reviewed
19	indicate that an Asian male had been involved?
20	A. No.
21	Q. So did the accident report that you reviewed only
22	indicate that a white Lexus had been involved?
23	A. No, it indicated that there was a hit and run.
24	Q. So it indicated that it was a hit and run and
25	that a white Lexus was involved and you deduced from that

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 37 of 75 PageID #: 2790

V. KHAZIN

1	that it was Lieutenant Lau who was involved in this?
2	A. At the time it seemed probable that he was
3	involved based on the timeframe, based on his driver and
4	based on his route from home to work.
5	Q. Were you aware that IAB did an investigation into
6	this anonymous complaint?
7	A. At my GO 15, the same people who investigated me
8	told me that they were the ones who cleared Lieutenant Lau.
9	Q. Were you aware that they looked into his driving
10	records and realized and found out he was nowhere near this
11	area?
12	A. I'm not aware of their investigations or even if
13	there was a full fledged investigation. I'm only aware of
14	what they told me.
15	Q. Did you ever review the materials that were
16	produced in discovery that included the entire IAB file in
17	this case?
18	A. No, never.
19	Q. Had you filed another complaint on an individual
20	based off events that you never saw?
21	A. Yes, I believe I did once.
22	Q. What complaint was that?
23	A. It was into Officer Rosovitch from Highway
24	Patrol.
25	Q. What was the substance of that complaint?

	Page 72
1	A. That he was conducting off-duty employment on job
2	time.
3	Q. What did you base that information on?
4	A. I found an add on SideGig of him offering
5	services and that add and that allegation led to the NYPD
6	figuring out that Rosovitch was embezzling NYPD money and
7	using their credit cards to better his business.
8	Q. And how did you submit your complaint?
9	A. By e-mail.
10	Q. Was it anonymous?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And how were you aware that based off of your
13	anonymous complaint, that that is how the NYPD found out
14	that he was committing credit card fraud?
15	A. Shortly after that there was an article in the
16	New York Post.
17	Q. Now, have you ever filed a complaint or pursued
18	an investigation into a civilian based off events that you
19	did not see?
20	A. Every day.
21	Q. And did you ever make conclusions based off
22	events you did not see and only hearsay statements from
23	other people?
24	A. In New York you are allowed to arrest based on
25	the statements of other people.

		Page 73
1	Q. Have you	ever concluded an investigation based
2	off hearsay stateme	nts?
3	A. Yes, or t	hey were witness statements.
4	Q. Of people	who actually observed what happened,
5	correct?	
6	A. People wh	o were present.
7	Q. But you b	ased this complaint off no one who was
8	present?	
9	A. That was	not a complaint. This was an
10	allegation. This w	as not filed with any courts. This was
11	an allegation which	I submitted in hopes of an
12	investigation.	
13	Q. And why i	s it that you believe that the contents
14	herein are not fals	e?
15	A. Because I	believe Lieutenant Lau based on his
16	statements was drun	k and did and I do know for a fact
17	that he was involve	d in a collision.
18	Q. And why d	idn't you submit an anonymous complaint
19	saying that you ove	rheard, that an anonymous person
20	overheard Lieutenan	t Lau admitting to engaging in a hit and
21	run?	
22	A. I'm sorry	, anonymous, did you state anonymous?
23	Q. Yes.	
24	MR.	SCOLA: Can you repeat the question,
25	Danielle.	

1	MS. DANDRIGE: Sure.
2	Q. As opposed to creating a fiction, why didn't you
3	just submit an anonymous complaint that you overheard, that
4	someone overheard Lieutenant Lau admitting to engaging in a
5	hit and run?
6	A. Well, I just want to differentiate that this
7	wasn't a complaint. This was an allegation and I didn't
8	think it mattered how you submit an allegation. I was
9	hoping for an investigation and for them to further approve
10	it.
11	Q. But my question to you is: As opposed to
12	creating something that did not occur, why didn't you just
13	submit an allegation of facts that actually did occur which
14	is you saying that you overheard a statement?
15	A. There was no reason. That would have yielded all
16	the same results that this report yielded. There was no
17	context behind it, no thought process, no attempt to
18	deceive anybody. I simply was submitting an allegation for
19	review and a pending investigation.
20	Q. Going to the middle of the anonymous allegation,
21	it indicates, well, it's actually towards the end, I
22	stopped and watched an Asian male walk into the police
23	station. Fast forward to September 6th, I was jogging near
24	East 5th Street and First Avenue when I spotted the same
25	car, so I followed it and it pulled into a parking lot

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 41 of 75 PageID #: 2794

V. KHAZIN

1	adjacent to the 9th Precinct of the NYPD. I stopped and
2	watched an Asian male walk into the police station at
3	5:50-ish. It was the same guy I saw hit the car days ago.
4	I came back 30 minutes later and asked a group of cops
5	standing outside if they knew whose car it was. They told
6	me it was a police lot and I cannot go into it. I walked
7	into the police station and saw Internal Affairs in a glass
8	encasement and thought this was my best chance to bring
9	justice. Considering that I thought the Asian male was a
10	police officer who did the hit and run I find this whole
11	situation as disgusting and I'm hoping you can remedy the
12	situation and discover the identity of this menace.
13	Why did you include all that if in the first part
14	of your anonymous complaint you provided the license plate
15	number?
16	A. There was no thought behind it, no particular
17	reason why I included all that, but to be completely frank,
18	I was disgusted by the whole situation.
19	Q. You were disgusted by the whole situation that
20	did in fact not occur?
21	A. Well, I still believe it did occur. I believe
22	Lieutenant Lau did get involved in a collision and he was
23	inebriated at the time.
24	Q. Why didn't you arrest him?
25	A. In the New York City Police Department you can't

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 42 of 75 PageID #: 2795

	Page 76
1	arrest a rank above you and you would need to do an even
2	more thorough investigation which I was hoping Internal
3	Affairs would do.
4	Q. So you can't arrest a rank above you, but you can
5	do an investigation into a rank above you?
6	A. Well, even if I investigate, if my investigation
7	yielded something, I still need to turn it over and no, I
8	cannot arrest a rank above me.
9	Q. I understand that, but you can still, you can do
10	an investigation into a rank above you?
11	A. Sure, Internal Affairs does it all the time.
12	Q. Well, you are not Internal Affairs.
13	A. No, I'm not.
14	Q. So you as a sergeant in a precinct, you can do an
15	investigation into a rank above you?
16	A. I'm sure any officer can do an investigation into
17	a rank above them. I mean it wouldn't be a formal
18	investigation, just like mine wasn't a formal
19	investigation.
20	Q. So it's your understanding that any officer can
21	do an informal investigation of any officers ranking above
22	them?
23	A. Anybody can do an investigation, yes, but it
24	would not be a formal investigation.
25	Q. What do you base that belief on?

	Page 77
1	A. Just being a police officer, take the oath that
2	we swore to uphold the laws.
3	Q. Now, on that date that you started your
4	investigation into Lieutenant Lau or looking at the
5	complaints of hit and runs, didn't Lieutenant Lau reprimand
6	you for putting up signs in the precinct saying Yelp
7	reviews allowed?
8	A. Well, that wasn't a reprimand. It was just a
9	conversation we had, but
10	Q. Did he tell you to take it down?
11	A. He did tell me to take it down.
12	Q. Did he tell you it was not professional?
13	A. And I believe he said that as well, yes.
14	Q. And then, is that the day you commenced your
15	investigation into him?
16	A. I'm not sure. It's been a while.
17	Q. Now, how is that not in retaliation for him
18	making a comment to you?
19	A. I don't know how you could see this as a
20	retaliation. I think that drunk driving is pretty serious.
21	Q. So any time there is a serious crime or
22	misconduct, it's not retaliation when officers engage in an
23	investigation; is that correct?
24	A. I'm sorry, can you rephrase that question or just
25	repeat it.

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 44 of 75 PageID #: 2797

	Page 78
1	Q. When officers engage in an investigation of
2	misconduct, it's not retaliation?
3	A. No, I don't believe an officer who would be
4	investigating a crime would do it for retaliatory purposes,
5	no.
6	Q. And making false statements to the New York City
7	Police Department is a crime, correct?
8	MR. SCOLA: Objection. He didn't make false
9	statements, he said he didn't.
10	Q. Is making false statements to the New York City
11	Police Department a crime?
12	A. No, you are not under oath.
13	Q. So it's not a crime to report a crime that you
14	did not observe?
15	A. Well
16	Q. Is that your understanding?
17	A. It's not a crime to make an allegation.
18	Q. So it's not a crime to make an allegation that a
19	crime was observed even though it was not observed?
20	A. It is not a crime to make an allegation on a
21	crime that I believe that had occurred.
22	Q. So just so I'm clear, if I heard someone
23	screaming but did not see it and knew nothing other than
24	that someone was screaming but reported to the NYPD that
25	someone was stabbed, that would not be a false report?

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 45 of 75 PageID #: 2798

		Page 79
1	A.	No, it happens pretty much every day.
2	Q.	So that is not a false report?
3	A.	No, and just to clarify that one example, if you
4	were to ta	ake the call logs and see how many radio runs are
5	marked as	unfounded by NYPD, that would mean that the
6	caller on	every one of those calls would be arrested in
7	that mind:	set and the NYPD does not do that.
8	Q.	Then why did you believe that this anonymous
9	complaint	would lead to an investigation?
10	A.	Because I did know based on the statements that
11	Lieutenan	t Lau made that he was involved in a collision and
12	he was ind	ebriated at the time.
13	Q.	Now, who did he, who was his driver?
14	A.	I forget his driver's name, but I can describe
15	him to you	u.
16	Q.	Would you remember the driver's rank?
17	A.	He was a police officer.
18	Q.	And where did you overhear this conversation?
19	A.	They were nearby the desk, because they were
20	speaking.	I believe his driver at the time was assigned as
21	my telepho	one switchboard operator and Lieutenant Lau was
22	next to h	im speaking to him at the time.
23	Q.	And what did Lieutenant Lau say?
24	Α.	He stated to him that he was involved in an
25	accident,	his car was destroyed and he mentioned that he

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 46 of 75 PageID #: 2799

V. KHAZIN

	Page 80
1	had a couple of drinks.
2	Q. Did he say he was drunk?
3	A. He said he had a couple of drinks.
4	Q. And did he say he hit another car?
5	A. He said that somebody hit him.
6	Q. And you deduced from that he hit somebody?
7	A. Yes, I believe that he did.
8	Q. Did you question the driver about the statements
9	that you overheard?
10	A. No, his driver would not speak to me about it. I
11	did not question him, but he wouldn't speak to me. He was
12	always very quiet around me, because he knew that me and
13	Lieutenant Lau had a tumultuous relationship.
14	Q. Had you ever seen Lieutenant Lau drunk before?
15	A. When he used to arrive to the precinct I could
16	smell the odor of marijuana I'm sorry, the odor of
17	alcohol off of him.
18	Q. Did you ever report that anonymously?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Why not?
21	A. This was the complaint that included that, the
22	fact that he gets drunk.
23	Q. Where does it include that he gets drunk on a
24	regular basis?
25	A. In this complaint I believe it indicates that he

Г

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 47 of 75 PageID #: 2800

V. KHAZIN

1	was drunk or it infers that he was drunk.
2	Q. But where does it state in the complaint that he
3	goes to work drunk? I just don't see it. I mean, point it
4	out to me, please.
5	A. And the driver of the Lexus was a (sic) seemed
6	drunk and very out of it.
7	Q. But he was not working on that day, it's not
8	until September 6th, days later, that you see him at work,
9	where does it indicate that he was drunk at work or
10	arriving to work drunk?
11	A. Well, based on the context of this, I was trying
12	to indicate that he is usually drunk.
13	Q. Is there any reason why you didn't report that he
14	was arriving to work drunk prior to him getting into an
15	accident such that he wouldn't get into an accident?
16	A. Well, the same reason why it took me so long to
17	report this, I always feared retaliation from Internal
18	Affairs. They never took any of my complaints seriously
19	but things always get substantially worse from my
20	complaints.
21	Q. What other complaints did you make to Internal
22	Affairs that were not take seriously?
23	A. Well, I made a complaint to Internal Affairs
24	about my work conditions. They never took that seriously.
25	I made a report to Internal Affairs that they unjustly

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 48 of 75 PageID #: 2801

V. KHAZIN

1	that somebody unjustly used an administrative warrant on my
2	phone records. They didn't take that seriously. I made a
3	report to Internal Affairs that they were holding my
4	overtime slips. They did not take that seriously. Any
5	time I complained to the police department it's not taken
6	seriously and I just seemed to suffer the brunt of making
7	the complaints.
8	Q. Why were you complaining to Internal Affairs
9	about internal matters, internal workplace matters that
10	weren't crimes?
11	A. I'm sorry for cutting you off. There is no one
12	else to complain to.
13	Q. Well, did you believe that the changes to your
14	work conditions and the administrative warrant and the OT
15	slips or the holding of the OT slips were retaliatory
16	events?
17	A. Yes, I strongly believed that.
18	Q. So why didn't you go to the Office of Equal
19	Employment which handles discrimination or retaliation?
20	Why were you going to IAB?
21	A. I did, I did go to them. They unfounded my
22	complaint and then just recently I understood why they
23	unfounded my complaint.
24	Q. Does IAB normally investigate situations such as
25	the holding of one's OT slips?

Case 1:17-cv-03779-LDH-TAM Document 89-7 Filed 04/17/23 Page 49 of 75 PageID #: 2802

V. KHAZIN

Page 85 After they didn't respond to the first or second 1 Q. 2 complaint, why did you keep complaining to them? Because these were all exclusive, like separate 3 Α. 4 incidents. 5 Now, did you think that anyone at the 9th Ο. Precinct had issued or requested the administrative 6 warrant? 7 Α. 8 I don't know. 9 Do you believe that it was Inspector Ge who had Q. requested the administrative warrant? 10 11 I believe that Inspector Ge was behind this whole Α. 12 situation that had brought me to this specific point that 13 I'm in today. 14 Now, why do you believe that once you left Q. 15 Highway Inspector Ge was orchestrating all these events? 16 When I first arrived to the 9th Precinct I Α. 17 received a phone call -- well, I'm sorry, I didn't receive 18 the phone call, but the commanding officer received a phone 19 call indicating that I'm coming here, that I was a piece of 20 garbage, that I'm the worst employee in the world and we 21 are very sorry to send him to you which set the stage for 22 the rest of my time at the 9th Precinct and further and I 23 was told that by the executive officer at the time that the phone call was made and I was told by a lieutenant that the 24 25 phone call was made as well and by a sergeant who is now a